Missouri Department of Social Services Early Childhood and Prevention Services Section



Block Grant Child Care Monthly Management Report

June 2006



Missouri Department of Social Services Research and Evaluation 221 West High Street, Broadway Building Jefferson City, MO 65101



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure	1	Map of Regions	1
Figure	2	Reason for Care	2
Figure	3	Children Served by Facility	2
Figure	4	Children Served by Provider	2
Table	1	Statewide Summary	3
Table	2	Applications	4-5
Table	3	Reason for Care	6-7
Figure	5	Children Served	8
Figure	6	Child Care Payments	8
Figure	7	Children Served by Region	9
Table	4	Children Served and Payments by Fund Category	10-11
Figure	8	Children Served Age < 2 by Facility	12
Figure	9	Children Served Ages 2-5 by Facility	12
Figure	10	Children Served Ages > 5 by Facility	12
Figure	11	Children Served in Center by Age	13
Figure	12	Children Served in Family Home by Age	13
Figure	13	Children Served in Group Home by Age	13
Table	5	Children Served & Payments by Child Age & Facility	14-15
Table	6	Child Care Demographics	16
Figure	14	Children Served by Provider Type	17
Figure	15	Child Care Providers by Type	17
Table	7	Child Served, Providers & Payments by Provider Type	18

GLOSSARY

FCS/AC/CTS/IIS Family Centered Services/Alternative Care/Children's Treatment

Services/Intensive In-Home Services

GAFP Grandparents as Foster Parents

IM Income Maintenance

ECPSS Office of Early Childhood

NOTES

- Unless noted elsewhere, all data contained in this report is based on payments made during the report month, usually for care provided the previous month.
- Payment data provided is for informational purposes only and is not meant to provide an auditable account of expenditures.
- Observe caution in the use of percentages when the base for computations is small, especially if observations total less than 100.

Figure 1. Regions





Figure 2. Reason for Child Care

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average
JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 3. Children Served by Facility

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 4. Children Served by Provider

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006






TABLE 1. CHILD CARE STATEWIDE SUMMARY JUNE 2006

	NUMBER OR AMOUNT (Jun-2006	NUMBER OR AMOUNT (May-2006	NUMBER OR AMOUNT Apr-2006		CHANGE FROM LAST MONTH	CHANGE FROM 2 MONTHS AGO	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR
	,						
APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	5,998	6,192	5,436	6,318	-3.1%	10.3%	-5.1%
APPLICATIONS APPROVED	3,558	3,598	3,293	3,781	-1.1%	8.0%	-5.9%
APPLICATIONS REJECTED	2,103	2,128	1,793	2,083	-1.2%	17.3%	1.0%
APPLICATIONS PENDING	932	984	984	945	-5.3%	-5.3%	-1.4%
15 DAYS OR LESS	850	891	831	807	-4.6%	2.3%	5.3%
MORE THAN 15 DAYS	82	93	153	138	-11.8%	-46.4%	-40.6%
HOUSEHOLD REASON FOR CARE	*						
EMPLOYMENT	13,817	14,003	14,708	16,963	-1.3%	-6.1%	-18.5%
EDUCATION	3,808	3,921	4,426	4,886	-2.9%	-14.0%	-22.1%
TRAINING	922	932	966	1,173	-1.1%	-4.6%	-21.4%
PROTECTIVE SERVICES	2,792	2,831	2,906	3,390	-1.4%	-3.9%	-17.6%
SPECIAL NEED	62	65	66	96	-4.6%	-6.1%	-35.4%
INCAPACITATED PARENT	156	159	165	202	-1.9%	-5.5%	-22.8%
TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	21,557	21,911	23,237	26,710		-7.2%	-19.3%
CHILDREN SERVED BY FACILITY	,						
CENTER CARE	24,955	24,564	24,445	25,894	1.6%	2.1%	-3.6%
FAMILY HOME CARE	17,354	17,189	17,622	20,025	1.0%	-1.5%	-13.3%
				1,285			
GROUP HOME CARE	1,143	1,112	1,131	1,285	2.8%	1.1%	-11.1%
CHILDREN SERVED BY PROVIDE		07.50/	27.422			0.404	0.004
LICENSED	28,166	27,586	27,600	29,305	2.1%	2.1%	-3.9%
LICENSE EXEMPT	2,616	2,663	2,580	2,674	-1.8%	1.4%	-2.2%
REGISTERED	10,781	10,817	11,006	13,199	-0.3%	-2.0%	-18.3%
TOTAL (UNDUPLICATED)							
CHILDREN SERVED	42,930	42,427	42,613	46,586	1.2%	0.7%	-7.8%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$12,872,238	\$11,857,061	\$12,900,552	\$13,921,143	8.6%	-0.2%	-7.5%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$300	\$279	\$303	\$299	7.3%	-1.0%	0.3%
OTHER ASSISTANCE RECEIVED	ĺ						
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE							
CHILDREN SERVED	7,592	7,721	7,942	8,904	-1.7%	-4.4%	-14.7%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$2,525,487	\$2,369,808	\$2,608,490	\$2,907,322	6.6%	-3.2%	-13.1%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$333	\$307	\$328	\$327	8.4%	1.3%	1.9%
GAFP	+555	4007	¥020	4027	0		,,,
CHILDREN SERVED	0	2	0	158			-100.0%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$0	\$480	\$0	\$55,457			-100.0%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$0	\$240	\$0	\$351			-100.0%
MEDICAID ONLY		. ,-	, -				
CHILDREN SERVED	30,349	29,754	29,645	32,146	2.0%	2.4%	-5.6%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$8,657,064	\$7,906,912	\$8,531,249	\$9,099,043	9.5%	1.5%	-4.9%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$285	\$266	\$288	\$283		-0.9%	0.8%
FOOD STAMPS ONLY	4200	4200	4200	\$200	71070	01770	0.070
CHILDREN SERVED	1,882	1,823	1,803	1,617	3.2%	4.4%	16.4%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$527,352	\$482,315	\$514,123	\$467,806	9.3%	2.6%	12.7%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$280	\$265	\$285	\$289	5.9%	-1.7%	-3.1%
CHILDREN SERVICES	\$250	\$255	Ψ200	¥207	3.,70	1.,,70	5.170
CHILDREN SERVED	2,792	2,831	2,906	3,390	-1.4%	-3.9%	-17.6%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$1,078,698	\$1,025,758	\$1,158,031	\$1,291,416		-6.9%	-16.5%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$1,078,098	\$1,025,756	\$1,156,051	\$1,291,410	6.6%	-3.0%	1.4%
	\$300	\$302	\$370	\$30 I	0.0%	-3.0%	1.470
NO OTHER IM ASSISTANCE	215	201	217	271	4 40/	0.40/	1E 10/
CHILDREN SERVED	315	296	317	371	6.4%	-0.6%	-15.1%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$84,434	\$72,418	\$89,012	\$101,120		-5.1%	-16.5%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$268	\$245	\$281	\$273	9.6%	-4.5%	-1.7%

^{*} Households include those active & authorized for care at month end, except for Protective Services which are considered single child households active during the month.

TABLE 2. CHILD CARE APPLICATIONS JUNE 2006

								TION RES	
		# RECEIVED	# APPROVED	# REJECTED	PERCENT REJECTED	TOTAL RESOLVED		16 DAYS OR MORE	
NW REGION	ANDREW	6	5	1	16.7%	6	5	j 1	16.7%
	ATCHISON	5	3	2	40.0%		5		0.0%
	BUCHANAN	152	82	66	44.6%	148	134	14	9.5%
	CALDWELL	6	4	2	33.3%		6		0.0%
	CARROLL	4	3		50.0%		5		16.7%
	CASS	69	37	29	43.9%		58		12.1%
	CHARITON	0		0			1	_	
	CLINTON	7	3		40.0% 28.6%	5	3 7		40.0% 0.0%
	COOPER DAVIESS	4	5 5			5	5	_	0.0%
	DE KALB	6			0.0%	4	4		0.0%
	GENTRY	3	2	0	0.0%	2	2		0.0%
	GRUNDY	10		3	30.0%	10	10		0.0%
	HARRISON	3			20.0%		4		20.0%
	HOLT	2	1	0	0.0%	1	1	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	37	18	23	56.1%	41	37	4	9.8%
	LAFAYETTE	24	14	7	33.3%	21	21	0	0.0%
	LINN	8		2	50.0%		4		0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	13	9	_	18.2%	11	11		0.0%
	MERCER	1	0		100.0%		0		100.0%
	NODAWAY	5	_		57.1%		6		14.3%
	PETTIS	62	36		36.8%	57	50		12.3%
	PUTNAM	2	0	-	0.0%		0		0.0%
	RAY	11	5			10 12	10		0.0%
	SALINE SULLIVAN	12	10		16.7% 0.0%		11		8.3%
	WORTH	0	-	0			0		0.0%
	NW TOTAL	462	_	178	40.4%		400		9.3%
NE REGION	ADAIR	8	4	3	42.9%	7	6	1	14.3%
NE REGION	AUDRAIN	15	7	8	53.3%		11		26.7%
	BOONE	189	99		40.7%		143		14.4%
	CALLAWAY	22	6		75.0%	24	18		25.0%
	CLARK	2	0	2	100.0%	2	2	. 0	0.0%
	COLE	76	41	32	43.8%	73	64	. 9	12.3%
	FRANKLIN	69	28	29	50.9%	57	56	1	1.8%
	GASCONADE	9			44.4%	9	9		0.0%
	HOWARD	4	_	3	60.0%	5	3		40.0%
	KNOX	2		0			1	Ŭ	0.0%
	LEWIS	4	4	1	20.0% 38.1%		5		0.0%
	LINCOLN	24	13				18		14.3%
	MACON MARION	6 40		3 14	60.0% 36.8%		5 34		0.0% 10.5%
	MONROE	5	24	14	42.9%		6		14.3%
	MONTGOMERY	2	0	0			0		0.0%
	OSAGE	7	1	6			6		14.3%
	PIKE	22	9		59.1%		20		9.1%
	RALLS	4	3	2	40.0%		4		20.0%
	RANDOLPH	38	25		28.6%	35	34	1	2.9%
	SCHUYLER	1	2	0			2		
	SCOTLAND	1	1	1	50.0%		1	<u> </u>	50.0%
1	SHELBY	3	0				0		0.0%
	WARREN NE REGION	17 570	7 288	2 36			13 461		13.3% 12.0%
	INC INCOION	370		230	75.076	324	401	, 03	12.076
SE REGION	BOLLINGER	2			50.0%		3		25.0%
1	BUTLER	74		20			66		7.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	104	59		43.3%		97		6.7%
	CARTER	2	1	0	0.0%		1	0	0.0%
1	CRAWFORD	12	8		27.3%		11		
	DENT	7	4		33.3%		6		0.0%
1	DUNKLIN HOWELL	34	20				28 37		6.7% 2.6%
	IRON	7			34.2% 40.0%				2.6%
	IIION	/	<u>ر</u>		40.076	<u> </u>	4	1 '	20.070

TABLE 2. CHILD CARE APPLICATIONS JUNE 2006

NEW M/OREGOI PEMISC PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANN STODD TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	IES SISSIPPI / MADRID	14		KEJECTED	REJECTED	RESOLVED	OR LESS	OR MORE	% OVER 15 DAYS
MISSISS NEW M/OREGORE PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANN STODD TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	SISSIPPI / MADRID		5	7	58.3%	12	12	0	0.0%
NEW M/OREGOI PEMISC PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNO STODDO TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	/ MADRID	3				3	3	0	0.0%
OREGOI PEMISC PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNO STODDO TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGLO GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CNE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		38			18.8%	32	31	1	3.1%
PEMISC PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNO STODDO TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGLO GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CAN STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	GON	14			25.0%	16	16		
PERRY PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNI STODD TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATCON BA		10			20.0%	5	5		
PHELPS PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNI STODDI TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGLI GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE		16			13.6%	22	20		9.1%
PULASK REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNI STODD/ TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE		18			61.1%	18	17		5.6%
REYNOL RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNIC STODD/TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SCOTT SHANNIC STODD SE REG STODD		24	_		40.9% 46.4%	22 28	22 26		0.0% 7.1%
RIPLEY ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNI STODD/ TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE		3			33.3%	3	20	0	0.0%
ST FRAI STE GEI SCOTT SHANNIG STODD/ TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGH' SW RE		16			14.3%	14	14		0.0%
SCOTT SHANNO STODD TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	RANCOIS	83			38.4%	73	69	_	5.5%
SHANNO STODD TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DALLAS DOUGL GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	GENEVIEVE	10			9.1%	11	9		18.2%
STODDO TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGH' SW RE		71			28.7%	80	79	1	1.2%
TEXAS WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	NNON	0	0	0	0.0%	0	0	0	0.0%
WASHIN WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDET CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWRET MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	DDARD	29	16	8		24	24	0	0.0%
WAYNE SE REG SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE		11	8		27.3%	11	11	_	0.0%
SE REGION SE REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	HINGTON	19			41.2%	17	17		0.0%
SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	• • • •	12	_			6	5		16.7%
BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	REGION	693	443	224	33.6%	667	636	31	4.6%
BATES BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	RY	16	10	7	41.2%	17	12	5	29.4%
BENTON CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE	TON	9	6	4	40.0%	10	9	1	10.0%
CAMDEI CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE		11				9	9		
CEDAR CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE		9	_		28.6%	7	7	0	0.0%
CHRIST DADE DALLAS DOUGL/ GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNO! WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE		15	_		58.3%	12	11		8.3%
DADE DALLAS DOUGLA GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGH' SW RE		8			16.7%	6	6		
DALLAS DOUGLA GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE	-	70			49.3%	69	61	8	11.6%
DOUGLA GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGH' SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		2	2		33.3%	3	2		33.3%
GREENE HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGH' SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		10	_		53.8%	13	13	_	
HENRY HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTE WRIGH' SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		321	162	4 127	36.4% 43.9%	11 289	11 248		0.0% 14.2%
HICKOR JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTI WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		25		14	45.2%	31	31	0	
JASPER LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		7	4		42.9%	7	7	0	0.0%
LACLED LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTI WRIGHT SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		125			39.1%	128	117	_	8.6%
LAWREI MCDON MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOI WEBSTE WRIGH SW REI KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		20			26.3%	19	19		
MILLER MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOT WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		18				21	16		23.8%
MONITE MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE	ONALD	10	2	8	80.0%	10	9	1	10.0%
MORGA NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE	.ER	13	8	5	38.5%	13	11	2	15.4%
NEWTO OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE	IITEAU	11	3	5	62.5%	8	8	0	0.0%
OZARK POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE	RGAN	10					5	_	
POLK ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		24			35.5%	31	28		
ST CLAI STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		7	2		50.0%	4	4		
STONE TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		29				27	27		
TANEY VERNOR WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		5 19			75.0% 53.8%	4 13	4 12	_	0.0% 7.7%
VERNOI WEBSTE WRIGH SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		48				47	36		23.4%
WEBSTE WRIGHT SW RESTERN CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		23				20	18		10.0%
WRIGHT SW RE KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		32			41.4%	29	27		6.9%
KC REGION CLAY JACKSO PLATTE		8			37.5%	8	8		
JACKSO PLATTE	REGION	914			44.7%	871	776		10.9%
JACKSO PLATTE	<i>J</i>	115	57	59	50.9%	11/	104	12	10.204
PLATTE		115 830			37.6%	116 817	104 704		10.3% 13.8%
		24			64.7%		17		
	TOTAL	969			39.7%	950	825		13.2%
STI DECLON LICEUR	EDCOM	140	00	40	27 40/	124	100		£ 10/
STL REGION JEFFERS	CHARLES	142 194			37.4% 49.4%	131 176	123 153		6.1% 13.1%
	OUIS COUNTY	1,148			49.4% 33.9%	1,060	917 917		13.1%
	OUIS COUNTY	906			24.3%	841	756		10.1%
	TOTAL	2,390			31.7%	2,208	1,949		11.7%
STATEWIDE		5,998	3,558	2,103	37.1%	5,661	5,047	614	10.8%

TABLE 3. CHILD CARE HOUSEHOLDS AND REASON FOR CARE JUNE 2006

		REASON FOR CARE						
		TOTAL				PROTECTIVE	SPECIAL	INCAPACITATED
		HOUSEHOLDS	EMPLOYMENT	EDUCATION	TRAINING	SERVICES	NEED	PARENT
NW REGION	ANDREW	26	16	4	0	6	l c) (
NW REGION	ATCHISON	11	7	1	0	3		
	BUCHANAN	389	291	76		14	0	
	CALDWELL	8					C	
	CARROLL	9	7	1	0	0	C	
	CASS	211	142	38	2	28	C	
	CHARITON	8	7	1	0	0	C	
	CLINTON	17	10		0	6		(
	COOPER	37	28			0		
	DAVIESS DE KALB	18	6		0		C	
	GENTRY	14	,	•	0	3	0	
	GRUNDY	30	22			5	_	
	HARRISON	9		1	0		0	
	HOLT	6		2	0		_	
	JOHNSON	108	64	24	0	20	C)
	LAFAYETTE	80	55	9	0	16	C)
	LINN	19	11	6		2	C	
	LIVINGSTON	51	45					
	MERCER	4	3		0	0		
	NODAWAY	12	9	24			C	
	PETTIS PUTNAM	140	101		0	12 1	2	
	RAY	29	_			3		
	SALINE	15	4		1	8		
	SULLIVAN	11	7	2	0	2	C	
	WORTH	6	3	1	0	2		
	NW TOTAL	1,283	888	235	8	138	3	11
NE DECLON	Labara	1 00	I or	1				ı
NE REGION	ADAIR AUDRAIN	38 53						
	BOONE	704	487	86		108	3	
	CALLAWAY	66				20	C	
	CLARK	13					_	(
	COLE	171	94	34	0	42	C	
	FRANKLIN	150	91	21	0	37	C	
	GASCONADE	19			0	6		
	HOWARD	22	13		0	7	C	
	KNOX	7	5		0		C	
	LEWIS LINCOLN	73			0	0 16	C	
	MACON	25			0		C	
	MARION	110				-	_	
	MONROE	15						
	MONTGOMERY	18		2	0	4	1	(
	OSAGE	20			0		C)
	PIKE	28			1	5	1	(
	RALLS	12	10					
	RANDOLPH	128			2	15		
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	11 2			1 0	3 2		
	SHELBY	15			0		0	
	WARREN	46				5	_	
	NE REGION	1,766				314		
SE REGION	BOLLINGER	21						
	BUTLER	272				22		
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	284					C	
	CARTER CRAWFORD	13			0	2	C	
	DENT	50 27	35 14				0	
	INFINI							
	DUNKLIN	121	76	11	Λ	3.3	ľ)
	DUNKLIN HOWELL	121 114			0 5			

TABLE 3. CHILD CARE HOUSEHOLDS AND REASON FOR CARE JUNE 2006

					REASON	FOR CARE		
		TOTAL				PROTECTIVE	SPECIAL	INCAPACITATED
		HOUSEHOLDS	EMPLOYMENT	EDUCATION	TRAINING	SERVICES	NEED	PARENT
	MADISON	48	31	3	0	13	C	1
	MARIES	7	7	0	0	0	0	
	MISSISSIPPI	134	103		4	8	0	
	NEW MADRID	103	70		1	12	0	
	OREGON	22	19		0	2	0	_
	PEMISCOT	126	102	14	1	8	1	0
	PERRY	42	35	5	0	2	C	
	PHELPS	101	54		1	19	0	
	PULASKI				I	7	C	
		65	46		I			_
	REYNOLDS	12	8		0	2	0	
	RIPLEY	58	41	8	0	8	C	1
	ST FRANCOIS	244	174	33	2	33	1	1
	STE GENEVIEVE	96	81	15	0	0	C	
	SCOTT	233	164	36	0	30	C	_
	SHANNON	6	5	1	0	0	C	
	STODDARD	78	45	7	0	26	C	0
	TEXAS	50	26	7	0	17	C	0
	WASHINGTON	95	51	15	0	28	C	1
	WAYNE	42	28	4	0	10	C	0
	SE REGION	2,487	1,750	367	21	332	4	13
	1	,		,				1
SW REGION	BARRY	48	34		1	9	C	
	BARTON	44	27	5	1	11	C	
	BATES	16	12	2	0	2	C	0
	BENTON	47	30	12	1	4	C	0
	CAMDEN	40	31	4	0	5	C	0
	CEDAR	40	25	4	0	10	C	1
	CHRISTIAN	191	125	25	1	37	1	2
	DADE	12	4	1	0	7	C	0
	DALLAS	22	16	2	0	4	C	0
	DOUGLAS	21	14	5	0	1	C	1
	GREENE	915	550	141	5	208	4	7
	HENRY	77	58		2	8	1	0
	HICKORY	14	10		0	3	C	
	JASPER	369	217	68	3	80	0	_
	LACLEDE	60	47	12	0	1	0	
	LAWRENCE	70	47	7	1	19	C	_
	MCDONALD	47	19	·	1	22	0	
					1			
	MILLER	30	25		0	4	C	_
	MONITEAU	25	20		I	3	0	
	MORGAN	39	28		<u> </u>	4	C	_
	NEWTON	133			0		C	
	OZARK	8			0	0	C	
	POLK	72	44		0	14	1	0
	ST CLAIR	6			0	0	C	
	STONE	37	22		0	10	C	0
	TANEY	102	65	8	1	28	C	0
	VERNON	52	37	11	2	2	C	0
	WEBSTER	74	52	10	2	10	C	0
	WRIGHT	32	17	6	0	8	C	1
	SW REGION	2,643	1,663	389	23	544	7	17
KC REGION	CLAY	397	248		6	65	1	
	JACKSON	3,095			150	473	10	
	PLATTE	70			1	6	1	2
	KC TOTAL	3,562	2,104	715	157	544	12	30
	1	1		,				ı
STL REGION	JEFFERSON	455	231		3	136	C	
	ST CHARLES	521	369		13	54	1	
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4,336			283	327	10	
	ST LOUIS CITY	4,504	2,776		403	403	14	
	STL TOTAL	9,816	6,245	1,864	702	920	25	60
STATEWIDE		1						1
	1	21,557	13,817	3,808	922	2,792	62	156

NOTE: Households include those active & authorized for care at month end, except for Protective Services which are considered single child households active during the month.

Figure 5. Children Served




Figure 6. Block Grant Child Care Payments





Figure 7. Children Served by Area JUNE 2006



12-Month Average
JUNE 2005-MAY 2006




TABLE 4. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY FUND CATEGORY JUNE 2006

		FCS/AC	C/CTS/IIS	ADOPTIO	N SUBSIDY	INCOM	E ELIGIBLE	TOTAL (UNI	OUPLICATED)
		CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS
	_								
NW REGION	ANDREW	6	\$1,679	0			\$7,870		\$9,549
	ATCHISON	0	\$0	3	\$351	4	\$520		\$871
	BUCHANAN CALDWELL	10	\$4,522 \$357	4	\$1,398 \$0	715 11	\$167,344 \$1,937	729 12	\$173,265 \$2,293
	CARROLL	0	\$35 <i>1</i> \$0	0	\$0 \$0		\$1,937	12	\$2,293 \$1,943
	CASS	12	\$4,156	16	\$7,852		\$105,559		\$1,743
	CHARITON	0	\$0	0	\$0		\$2,154	16	\$2,154
	CLINTON	0	\$0	6	\$1,775	23	\$4,540	29	\$6,315
	COOPER	0	\$0	0			\$14,478		\$14,478
	DAVIESS	1	\$665	0	\$0	28	\$4,935	29	\$5,600
	DE KALB	3	\$1,308	0	\$0	21	\$2,842	24	\$4,150
	GENTRY	0	\$0	1	\$180	10	\$1,458		\$1,638
	GRUNDY	3	\$1,066	2	\$846	48	\$9,600	53	\$11,512
	HARRISON	1	\$82	0			\$4,431	28	\$4,512
	HOLT	0	\$0	0	\$0		\$2,059	10	\$2,059
	JOHNSON LAFAYETTE	14 12	\$5,931 \$7,059	6	\$2,228	248	\$64,750	268 120	\$72,909
	LINN	2	\$7,059 \$555	4	\$665 \$0	104 29	\$25,085 \$4,597	31	\$32,810 \$5,152
	LIVINGSTON	1	\$332	1	\$1,725		\$15,943	95	\$18,001
	MERCER	0	\$332	0	\$1,723		\$685	7	\$685
	NODAWAY	1	\$562	0	\$0		\$3,120	•	\$3,682
	PETTIS	9	\$3,024	5		238	\$44,318		\$48,023
	PUTNAM	1	\$63	0	\$0		\$1,451	14	\$1,513
	RAY	3	\$2,390	0	\$0	96	\$23,301	99	\$25,691
	SALINE	7	\$1,733	1	\$25	138	\$27,095	146	\$28,854
	SULLIVAN	2	\$882	0	\$0		\$2,160		\$3,042
	WORTH	2	\$616	0			\$520		\$1,137
	NW TOTAL	91	\$36,982	49	\$17,727	2,447	\$544,695	2,585	\$599,405
NE REGION	ADAIR	3	\$666	4	\$887	39	\$6.100	48	¢7 740
NE REGION	AUDRAIN	3	\$996	5	\$1,252		\$6,190 \$18,832		\$7,742 \$21,080
	BOONE	71	\$31,872	37	\$20,727		\$391,485		\$444,083
	CALLAWAY	12	\$6,943	8	\$1,167	97	\$18,988		\$27,097
	CLARK	0	\$0	0	\$0		\$6,058		\$6,058
	COLE	36	\$8,021	6	\$1,515		\$60,657	313	\$70,193
	FRANKLIN	31	\$13,124	7	\$1,927	235	\$57,940	272	\$72,992
	GASCONADE	6	\$1,230	0	\$0	9	\$1,693	15	\$2,923
	HOWARD	7	\$2,601	0	\$0		\$8,551	37	\$11,152
	KNOX	1	\$220	0			\$2,417	14	\$2,637
	LEWIS	0	\$0	0			\$5,156		\$5,156
	LINCOLN	11	\$4,046	5	\$3,085	114	\$22,158		\$29,290
	MACON	3	\$624	1	\$220		\$5,451	40	\$6,294
	MARION	8	\$2,781 \$94	1	\$81 \$324				\$34,659 \$6,127
	MONROE MONTGOMERY	1 2	\$94 \$203	1 2		30	\$5,709 \$7,068		\$0,12 <i>1</i> \$7,668
	OSAGE	4	\$203 \$704	2				24	\$4,104
	PIKE	3	\$248	2			\$5,456		\$5,739
	RALLS	0	\$0				\$3,559		\$3,559
	RANDOLPH	6	\$2,353	9			\$55,161	304	\$59,380
	SCHUYLER	3	\$903	0			\$3,025		\$3,927
	SCOTLAND	2	\$421	0	\$0	18	\$2,114	20	\$2,536
	SHELBY	5	\$1,279	0	\$0	22	\$2,672	27	\$3,951
	WARREN	5	\$3,421	0			\$16,763		\$20,184
	NE TOTAL	223	\$82,748	92	\$33,922	2,980	\$741,860	3,294	\$858,530
SE DECLON	POLLINCED	1 0	*^	_			#0.304	F.01	#0.201
SE REGION	BOLLINGER BUTLER	22	\$0 \$6,114		\$0 \$0				\$9,391 \$85,337
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	13	\$6,114		\$0 \$3,824	439 566	\$79,222 \$96,103		\$85,33 <i>1</i> \$103,129
	CARTER	2	\$3,201				\$4,723		\$103,129
	CRAWFORD	7	\$2,057	0			\$18,505		\$20,561
	DENT	5	\$1,741	2			\$4,065		\$6,230
	1								
	DUNKLIN	30	\$7,716	3	\$512	240	\$40,140	273	\$48,367
	DUNKLIN HOWELL	30	\$7,716 \$893 \$1,112	1	\$512 \$280		\$40,140 \$44,295		\$48,367


TABLE 4. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY FUND CATEGORY JUNE 2006

		FCS/AC/		ADOPTION			ELIGIBLE	TOTAL (UNDUPLICATED)		
		CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	
	1	1.0	4445		44.540	0.0	444 (04		417.00	
	MADISON	10	\$4,115	4	\$1,512	80	\$11,601	94	\$17,22	
	MARIES	0	\$0	0	\$0	15	\$1,358		\$1,35	
	MISSISSIPPI	7	\$1,093	1	\$360	272	\$44,157		\$45,60	
	NEW MADRID	7	\$1,699	5	\$1,363	206	\$34,567		\$37,62	
	OREGON	2	\$218	0	\$0	43	\$6,590		\$6,80	
	PEMISCOT	8	\$1,611	0	\$0	296	\$54,469		\$56,08	
	PERRY	2	\$379	0	\$0	64	\$9,833		\$10,21	
	PHELPS	14	\$5,571	5	\$1,575	147	\$26,070		\$33,2	
	PULASKI	1	\$403	6	\$1,841	97	\$17,757	104	\$20,0	
	REYNOLDS	2	\$128	0	\$0	20	\$2,705	22	\$2,83	
	RIPLEY	8	\$2,471	0	\$0	102	\$13,742	110	\$16,2	
	ST FRANCOIS	30	\$6,670	5	\$882	470	\$81,168	505	\$88,7	
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	\$0	0	\$0	90	\$17,752	90	\$17,7	
	SCOTT	29	\$6,638	1	\$460	422	\$72,480		\$79,5	
	SHANNON	0	\$0	0	\$0	14	\$2,521	14	\$2,5	
	STODDARD	24	\$6,067	3	\$654	74	\$11,038		\$17,7	
	TEXAS	12	\$2,329	5	\$1,080	56	\$9,165		\$12,5	
	WASHINGTON	26	\$6,899	2	\$960	161	\$29,707		\$37,5	
	WAYNE	10	\$1,607	0	\$700	62	\$9,636		\$11,2	
	SE TOTAL	278	\$71,180		\$15,726	4,430	\$756,636		\$843,54	
	SE IUIAL	270	\$/1,16U	55	\$15,720	4,430	\$750,030	4,762	\$043, 34	
W REGION	BARRY	3	\$1,022	6	\$1,226	82	\$15,229	91	\$17,4	
W REGION	BARTON	7	\$1,022	4	\$660	74	\$11,434		\$17,4	
			\$2,213 \$769	0	\$000 \$0	28				
	BATES	2					\$4,950		\$5,7	
	BENTON	2	\$370	2	\$104	87	\$16,057		\$16,5	
	CAMDEN	1	\$296	4	\$456	68	\$11,217		\$11,9	
	CEDAR	3	\$902	7	\$2,899	73	\$16,686		\$20,4	
	CHRISTIAN	27	\$7,707	10	\$1,735	282	\$56,145		\$65,5	
	DADE	6	\$1,399	1	\$250	24	\$4,357		\$6,0	
	DALLAS	4	\$577	0	\$0	43	\$5,640		\$6,2	
	DOUGLAS	1	\$30	0	\$0	46	\$5,832	47	\$5,8	
	GREENE	186	\$79,174	23	\$6,089	1,167	\$315,425	1,375	\$400,6	
	HENRY	7	\$1,413	1	\$260	136	\$25,898	144	\$27,5	
	HICKORY	3	\$372	0	\$0	26	\$4,076	29	\$4,4	
	JASPER	55	\$14,690	29	\$7,513	558	\$103,607	638	\$125,8	
	LACLEDE	0	\$0	1	\$630	98	\$18,755	99	\$19,3	
	LAWRENCE	9	\$1,819	10	\$2,346	99	\$14,274	118	\$18,4	
	MCDONALD	21	\$6,085	1	\$220	60	\$9,158		\$15,4	
	MILLER	5	\$917	0	\$0	53	\$9,300		\$10,2	
	MONITEAU	1	\$163	2	\$668	61	\$10,123		\$10,9	
	MORGAN	4	\$1,580	0	\$0	65	\$8,851	69	\$10,4	
	NEWTON	25	\$7,272	6	\$1,530	219	\$35,891	249	\$44,6	
	OZARK	0	\$7,272		\$1,550	219	\$4,003		\$4,0	
	POLK	10	\$1,697		·	130	\$19,883		\$23,9	
			\$1,697 \$0	4	\$2,362					
	ST CLAIR	0		0	\$0	14	\$2,610		\$2,6	
	STONE	8	\$1,123	2	\$980	51	\$8,858		\$10,9	
	TANEY	19	\$3,952	9	\$3,295	81	\$12,231		\$19,4	
	VERNON	2	\$524	0	\$0	101	\$16,836		\$17,3	
	WEBSTER	5	\$875	5	\$1,190	103	\$17,250		\$19,3	
	WRIGHT	8	\$1,625	0	\$0	52	\$7,290		\$8,9	
	SW TOTAL	424	\$138,562	127	\$34,411	3,901	\$791,865	4,446	\$964,8	
	1	,		,				,		
C REGION	CLAY	23	\$10,214		\$13,718		\$203,119		\$227,0	
	JACKSON	293	\$147,760		\$73,205	5,831	\$1,825,057		\$2,046,0	
	PLATTE	5	\$3,706		\$159	154	\$53,475		\$57,3	
	KC TOTAL	321	\$161,680	226	\$87,082	6,637	\$2,081,650	7,182	\$2,330,4	
TL REGION	JEFFERSON	114	\$51,785	22	\$5,359	748			\$267,7	
	ST CHARLES	33	\$16,080		\$4,798	1,076	\$336,138		\$357,0	
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	229	\$107,990	100	\$34,213	8,709	\$2,992,329	9,037	\$3,134,5	
	ST LOUIS CITY	269	\$135,560	135	\$49,037	9,242	\$3,331,601	9,645	\$3,516,1	
	STL TOTAL	645	\$311,416		\$93,406		\$6,870,690		\$7,275,5	
			,		,					
		1,981	\$802,568	827	\$282,274	40.420	\$11,787,396	42,930		

NOTE: Children may have been served in more than one category and/or in more than one county for a report month.

Figure 8. Children Served Age < 2 by Facility

JUNE 2006 12-Month Average
JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 9. Children Served Ages 2-5 by Facility

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 10. Children Served Age > 5 by Facility

JUNE 2006 12-Month Average
JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 11. Children Served in Center by Age

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average
JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 12. Children Served in Family Home by Age

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 13. Children Served in Group Home by Age

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006






TABLE 5. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY CHILD AGE AND FACILITY **JUNE 2006**

		JUINE 2				
			CHANGE			CHANGE
	CHILDREN	CHILDREN	FROM		***PAYMENTS	FROM
	Jun-2006	Jun-2005	LAST YEAR	Jun-2006	Jun-2005	LAST YEAR
TOTAL (UNDUPLICATED)	42,930	46,586	0.9%	\$12,872,238	\$13,921,143	0.9%
CHILDREN BY CHILD AGE*						
UNDER AGE 1	3,576	3,668	-7.8%	\$1,556,695	\$1,561,674	-7.5%
AGE 1	5,067	5,579	-9.2%	\$2,270,913	\$2,412,261	-5.9%
AGE 2	5,893	6,079	-3.1%	\$1,734,476	\$1,789,191	-3.1%
AGE 3	5,724	6,193	-7.6%	\$1,693,927	\$1,816,980	-6.8%
AGE 4	5,501	6,194	-11.2%	\$1,622,626	\$1,794,656	-9.6%
AGE 5	4,598	4,869	-5.6%	\$1,211,330	\$1,289,941	-6.1%
AGES 6 and over**	N/A	14,309	N/A	N/A	\$3,256,440	N/A
AGE 6	2,938	N/A	N/A	\$619,146	N/A	N/A
AGE 7	2,490	N/A	N/A	\$523,415	N/A	N/A
AGE 7	2,207	N/A	N/A	\$461,233	N/A	N/A
AGE 9						
	1,748	N/A	N/A	\$375,152	N/A	N/A
AGE 10	1,373	N/A	N/A	\$300,190	N/A	N/A
AGE 11	1,100	N/A	N/A	\$245,977	N/A	N/A
AGE 12	849	N/A	N/A	\$195,806	N/A	N/A
AGE 13	61	N/A	N/A	\$19,253	N/A	N/A
AGE 14 and over	121	N/A	N/A	\$42,098	N/A	N/A
			_			
CHILDREN BY FACILITY TYPE**						
CENTER	24,955	25,894	-3.6%	\$8,333,480	\$8,657,443	-3.7%
FAMILY HOME	17,354	20,025	-13.3%	\$4,310,863	\$5,013,248	-14.0%
GROUP HOME	1,143	1,285	-11.1%	\$227,712	\$250,453	-9.1%
CHILD AGE BY FACILITY TYPE**	*					
UNDER AGE 1						
CENTER	1,921	1,887	1.8%	\$1,050,675	\$1,013,393	3.7%
FAMILY HOME	1,620	1,747	-7.3%	\$483,908	\$523,500	-7.6%
GROUP HOME	78	87	-10.3%	\$22,111	\$24,781	-10.8%
AGE 1						
CENTER	2,865	2,930	0	\$1,601,065	\$1,613,039	-0.7%
FAMILY HOME	2,126	2,552	-16.7%	\$627,236	\$753,554	-16.8%
GROUP HOME	146	166	-12.0%	\$42,576	\$45,668	-6.8%
AGE 2					. ,	
CENTER	3,656	3,613	1.2%	\$1,170,778	\$1,164,043	0.6%
FAMILY HOME	2,109	2,322	0	\$523,761	\$579,318	-9.6%
GROUP HOME	203	224	0	\$39,937	\$45,830	-12.9%
AGE 3	203	227	Ö	Ψ37,737	Ψ45,030	12.770
CENTER	3,747	4,012	-6.6%	\$1,192,642	\$1,272,308	-6.3%
FAMILY HOME	1,863	2,088	0.070	\$463,126	\$510,110	-9.2%
GROUP HOME	193	185	0	\$38,081	\$34,561	10.2%
	173	100	U	\$30,001	\$34,301	10.2 /6
AGE 4	2.044	4.000	4 70/	¢1 201 (20	¢1 04E 422	3 50/
CENTER	3,844	4,032	-4.7%	\$1,201,620	\$1,245,422	-3.5%
FAMILY HOME	1,576	2,081	0	\$392,414	\$515,096	-23.8%
GROUP HOME	141	183	0	\$28,522	\$34,138	-16.4%
AGE 5				40=	4000 01-	
CENTER	3,081	3,151	-2.2%	\$854,449	\$888,863	-3.9%
FAMILY HOME	1,471	1,664	0	\$335,800	\$379,005	-11.4%
GROUP HOME	115	132	-12.9%	\$21,081	\$22,073	-4.5%

^{*}Age reflects the age of the child when the care was provided, and not when payment was made. A child could be counted in more than one age group if payments were made for multiple service months during the report month.

**Beginning August 2005, age group "Age 6 and over" was expanded to show "Ages 6 - 14 +" separately

*** A child is counted in each facility type where care was provided.

^{****}Prior to August 2005 data is not available for individual age groups over 6.

TABLE 5. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY CHILD AGE AND FACILITY **JUNE 2006**

	<u> </u>	JUNE 2	CHANGE	T		CHANGE
	I CHILDREN	CHII DDEN			****PAYMENTS	FROM
			LAST YEAR			LAST YEAR
	Juli-2006	Juli-2005	LASI TEAK	Juli-2008	Juli-2005	LASI TEAK
AGE 6 **				ı		
CENTER	1,630	6,438	N/A	\$346,184	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	1,266	7,694	N/A		N/A N/A	N/A
GROUP HOME	84	315	N/A		N/A N/A	N/A
AGE 7	04	313	IV/A	\$10,769	IV/A	IV/A
CENTER	1,281	N/A	N/A	\$274,054	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	1,168	N/A	N/A		N/A N/A	
GROUP HOME	64	N/A	N/A		N/A N/A	
AGE 8	04	IV/A	IV/A	\$1,134	IV/A	IN/A
CENTER	1,105	N/A	N/A	\$227,355	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	1,103	N/A	N/A		N/A	
GROUP HOME	47	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
AGE 9	47	IV/A	IVA	\$0,403	IV/A	IV/A
CENTER	779	N/A	N/A	\$156,454	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	945	N/A	N/A		N/A	
GROUP HOME	34	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
AGE 10	34	IV/A	IVA	ψ+,+30	IV/A	IV/A
CENTER	597	N/A	N/A	\$124,524	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	768	N/A	N/A		N/A	
GROUP HOME	16	N/A	N/A		N/A	
AGE 11	10	14//1	14//	Ψ2,020	1477	14//
CENTER	381	N/A	N/A	\$77,129	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	712	N/A	N/A	. ,	N/A	
GROUP HOME	15	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
AGE 12				1 ,		
CENTER	218	N/A	N/A	\$47,567	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	627	N/A	N/A		N/A	
GROUP HOME	9	N/A	N/A	\$1,250	N/A	N/A
AGE 13						
CENTER	13	N/A	N/A	\$5,145	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	47	N/A	N/A	\$13,947	N/A	N/A
GROUP HOME	1	N/A	N/A	\$161	N/A	N/A
AGE 14 and over						
CENTER	12	N/A	N/A	\$3,839	N/A	N/A
FAMILY HOME	108	N/A	N/A	\$38,147	N/A	N/A
GROUP HOME	1	N/A	N/A	\$113	N/A	N/A

^{*}Age reflects the age of the child when the care was provided, and not when payment was made. A child could be counted in more than one age group if payments were made for multiple service months during the report month.

**Beginning August 2005, age group "Age 6 and over" was expanded to show "Ages 6 - 14 +" separately.

^{***}A child is counted in each facility type where care was provided.

^{****}Prior to August 2005 data is not available for individual age groups over 6.

TABLE 6. CHILD CARE DEMOGRAPHICS

		JUNE-30-2006	JUNE-30-2005
		T	
Ra	ice of Children		
	White	48.6%	49.7%
	Black		47.8%
	Other	2.170	2.6%
His	spanic - Children		
	Yes	2.0%	2.0%
	No	98.0%	98.0%
T		I	
ly	rpe of Household One parent	94.1%	93.8%
	Two parent		6.2%
	paren	0.770	5.27
Ho	ousehold Size		
	2	34.6%	34.9%
	3	32.0%	31.6%
	4	19.8%	20.3%
	5	8.9%	8.8%
	6 7 or more	3.1% 1.5%	3.0% 1.5%
	7 of filore	1.570	1.576
Monthly Household	Income by Household Size*		
Household Size=2	-		
\$545 OR LESS	49% of poverty guidelines		51.2%
\$546-\$654	59% of poverty guidelines		7.3%
\$655-\$763	69% of poverty guidelines		8.0%
\$764-\$872	79% of poverty guidelines		8.2%
\$873-\$981	89% of poverty guidelines		8.2%
\$982-\$1,090 \$1,091-\$1,199	99% of poverty guidelines 109% of poverty guidelines		8.4% 7.6%
\$1,200-\$1,228	112% of poverty guidelines		0.2%
OVER \$1,228	Over 112% of poverty guidelines		0.2%
Household Size=3	guidennes	11070	3.776
\$674 OR LESS	49% of poverty guidelines	41.4%	43.0%
\$675-\$808	58% of poverty guidelines		8.1%
\$809-\$943	68% of poverty guidelines		8.9%
\$944-\$1,078	78% of poverty guidelines	9.6%	9.6%
\$1,079-\$1,212	88% of poverty guidelines		9.6%
\$1,213-\$1,347	97% of poverty guidelines		9.7%
\$1,348-\$1,482	107% of poverty guidelines		9.4%
\$1,483-\$1,518 OVER \$1,518	110% of poverty guidelines Over 110% of poverty guidelines		0.4% 1.3%
Household Size=4	Over 11078 or poverty guidenness	2.076	1.570
\$802 OR LESS	48% of poverty guidelines	38.9%	41.3%
\$803-\$962	58% of poverty guidelines		8.7%
\$963-\$1,122	67% of poverty guidelines		9.8%
\$1,123-\$1,283	77% of poverty guidelines	10.2%	10.1%
\$1,284-\$1,443	87% of poverty guidelines		10.5%
\$1,444-\$1,604	96% of poverty guidelines		9.1%
\$1,605-\$1,764	106% of poverty guidelines		8.5%
\$1,765-\$1,807	108% of poverty guidelines		0.6%
OVER \$1,807 Household Size=5	Over 108% of poverty guidelines	1.7%	1.4%
0-\$930	48% of poverty guidelines	41.2%	42.3%
\$931-\$1,116	57% of poverty guidelines		10.7%
\$1,117-\$1,302	68% of poverty guidelines		11.1%
\$1,303-\$1,488	76% of poverty guidelines		11.5%
\$1,489-\$1,674	86% of poverty guidelines		8.3%
\$1,675-\$1,860	95% of poverty guidelines		8.0%
\$1,861-\$2,046	105% of poverty guidelines	6.2%	6.9%
\$2,047-\$2,095	107% of poverty guidelines	1.7%	0.6%
OVER \$2,095	Over 107% of poverty guidelines	1.1%	0.7%


NOTE: Percentages are based on children and households authorized for child care as of the end of the report month.

^{*} This table reflects Federal HHS Poverty Guidelines issued February 28, 2006.

Figure 14. Children Served by Provider Type

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006







Figure 15. Child Care Providers by Type

JUNE 2006

12-Month Average JUNE 2005-MAY 2006






TABLE 7. CHILDREN SERVED, PROVIDERS AND PAYMENTS BY PROVIDER TYPE JUNE 2006

	NUMBER	NUMBER	CHANGE
	OR AMOUNT	OR AMOUNT	FROM
	Jun-2006	Jun-2005	LAST YEAR
*** TOTAL LICENSED ***	00.444	00.005	0.004
CHILDREN	28,166	29,305	-3.9%
PROVIDERS	2,278	2,367	-3.8%
PAYMENTS	\$9,027,471	\$9,356,578	-3.5%
CENTERS			
CHILDREN	22,295	23,100	-3.5%
PROVIDERS	1,215	1,252	-3.0%
PAYMENTS	\$7,624,901	\$7,884,395	-3.3%
FAMILY HOMES			
CHILDREN	5,020	5,175	-3.0%
PROVIDERS	932	987	-5.6%
PAYMENTS	\$1,201,383	\$1,239,707	-3.1%
GROUP HOMES			
CHILDREN	1,037	1,207	-14.1%
PROVIDERS	147	155	-5.2%
PAYMENTS	\$201,187	\$232,476	-13.5%
*** REGISTERED ***			
CHILDREN	10,781	13,199	-18.3%
PROVIDERS	3,529	4,125	-14.4%
PAYMENTS	\$2,644,666	\$3,270,520	-19.1%
FOUR OR LESS			
UNRELATED CHILDREN			
CHILDREN	10,757	13,158	-18.2%
PROVIDERS	3,518	4,114	-14.5%
PAYMENTS	\$2,638,582	\$3,257,317	-19.0%
OUT-OF-STATE PROVIDER			
LICENSE EXEMPT			
CHILDREN	6	0	
PROVIDERS	3	0	
PAYMENTS	\$1,134	\$0	
OUT-OF-STATE PROVIDER	4.7.2.	, ,	
LICENSED BY OTHER STATE			
CHILDREN	15	37	-59.5%
PROVIDERS	7	10	-30.0%
PAYMENTS	\$4,317	\$12,119	-64.4%
MILITARY	ψ1/01/	Ψ12/11/	01.170
CHILDREN	3	4	-25.0%
PROVIDERS	1	1	0.0%
PAYMENTS	\$633	\$1,083	-41.6%
FATIVILINTS	φ033	\$1,003	-41.070
*** TOTAL LICENSE EXEMPT ***			
CHILDREN	2,616	2,674	-2.2%
PROVIDERS	331	326	1.5%
PAYMENTS	\$648,632	\$702,044	-7.6%
SCHOOL	70.0700=	7.5=,5	
CHILDREN	753	728	3.4%
PROVIDERS	157	139	12.9%
PAYMENTS	\$161,942	\$175,936	-8.0%
BUSINESS	Ψ101,742	Ψ175,750	-0.070
CHILDREN	8	6	33.3%
PROVIDERS	2	2	0.0%
PAYMENTS	\$1,613	\$1,431	12.7%
•	\$1,013	\$1,431	12.1%
RELIGIOUS	4.007	1 00 4	F F0/
CHILDREN	1,827	1,934	-5.5%
PROVIDERS	171	181	-5.5%
PAYMENTS	\$482,824	\$524,193	-7.9%
SUMMER	_	_	_
CHILDREN	31	8	0.0%
PROVIDERS	1	4	0.0%
PAYMENTS	\$2,253	\$484	0.0%